AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   A. October 7, 2019 Special Meeting
      10 07 2019 Zoning Regulation Subcommittee Minutes-Draft

4. DISCUSSION ITEMS AT MEETING
   The following will be the focus of discussion for this meeting
   A. Update on Zoning Rewrite Project
      Phase 1 Priorities
   B. Short Term Amendments
      Short Term Amendments
   C. EDC Proposals

5. COMMUNICATIONS

6. FUTURE MEETINGS
   A. Upcoming Meeting Schedule
   B. Future Meeting Topics

7. ADJOURNMENT
MINUTES

Members Present: S. Ferrigno, A. McPherson, L. Watson

Staff Present: L. Painter

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL
S. Ferrigno called the meeting to order at 5:35 p.m.

OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
No public comment received.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

2020 Workplan
Painter reviewed the subcommittee task description from the EDC’s 2020 workplan. Members discussed the following priorities for the remainder of 2019 and 2020:

- Review draft regulations produced by the PZC’s Regulatory Review Committee (RRC) and provide feedback with regard to potential barriers to business growth and economic development
- Request an informal meeting with the RRC to begin the process of building a relationship and mutual understanding of the roles and perspectives of each group

Update on PZC Regulatory Review Committee Work
Painter noted that the RRC is planning to propose changes to commercial/non-residential zoning districts, particularly with regard to district names, boundaries and permitted uses in the coming months.

Non-Residential and Mixed Use Districts: Permitted Uses and Development Review Process
Painter updated members on the status of previous reviews, noting that the packet included markups of an earlier draft of the permitted use chapter from the subcommittee as well as from former member Wiles. No formal comments were ever submitted from the EDC to the RRC with regard to the draft permitted use chapter. Members concurred that the next step would be to review the draft chapter and comments to formalize feedback to the RRC with the goal of influencing the draft changes that RRC will be submitting to the PZC for a public hearing.

Zoning Map Revisions: Non-residential and Mixed Use Districts
As part of the review of permitted uses, members will also be reviewing district boundaries as they are updated by the RRC.
COMMUNICATIONS
No communications were received.

FUTURE MEETINGS
Members concurred that evening meetings were preferable. Staff will poll members on specific dates to establish a 2020 meeting calendar. The meeting calendar will be presented for adoption either at the next EDC meeting or at a special meeting prior to the end of the year. Given the reactive nature of the committee’s work, it is likely that there will be many special meetings scheduled. Ferrigno suggested that meetings immediately before or after the regular EDC meeting may work.

ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully Submitted:

Linda M. Painter, AICP
Director of Planning and Development
MEMO

To: Regulatory Review Committee
CC: Jennifer Kaufman, AICP, Senior Planner; Jillene Woodmansee, Planning Specialist/ZEO
From: Linda Painter, AICP, Director
Date: February 7, 2020
Subject: Phase 1 Priorities

In developing the initial scope of work for the consultant assisting with the rewrite project, staff recommends splitting the project into two phases, with the first phase focused on reorganization and addressing top priorities to the best extent possible. The following break-down is a suggested list of Phase 1 and Phase 2 priorities for discussion by the RRC, and ultimately the PZC. Items may shift from one phase to another as the project moves forward.

PHASE 1

Organization
- New framework/organization to improve usability
- Expand use of tables and illustrations

Administration and Enforcement
- Organization
- Update procedures and requirements
- Definitions/Measurements

Resource Protection
- Riparian Corridor/Water Resource Protection
- Updated Agricultural Regulations

Districts and Uses
- Permitted Uses (All Districts)
- Commercial/Mixed Use Districts (mapping, review procedures, dimensional requirements)
- Creation of Small Lot Residential Districts (regulations and mapping)
- Multi-family Districts (?)

Development Standards
- General Requirements
- Signs
- Site Development (parking, landscaping, lighting etc.)
- Design Standards (Building Types)
PHASE 2

Districts and Uses

- Agriculture District (Mapping, Uses, Requirements)
- Open Space District (Mapping, Uses, Requirements)
- Institutional District (Mapping, Requirements)
- PVRA/PVCA (Mapping, Requirements)
- Re-introduction of R-40 district (mapping, uses, requirements)
- Natural Resource Protection Zone (mapping, uses, requirements)
- Residential Districts-Add concept of dwelling units per acre to control density instead of solely relying on minimum lot area

Subdivision Regulations

- Integrate into Zoning Regulations (?)
- Update design review process
- Update regulations
MEMO

To: Regulatory Review Committee

CC: Jennifer Kaufman, AICP, Senior Planner; Jillene Woodmansee, Planning Specialist/ZEO

From: Linda Painter, AICP, Director

Date: February 7, 2020

Subject: Short-Term Zoning Regulation Amendments

As staff works with the Committee and selected consultant to identify priorities for Phase 1 of the Zoning Rewrite, staff has identified some amendments that we would like to see addressed in the short-term given questions and issues that frequently arise during the permitting and enforcement process. In some cases, we already have draft language that could be adjusted for inclusion in the existing regulations. For others, staff would prioritize drafting language for review at the Committee’s next meeting. This list is what staff has identified to-date; additional items could be added as needed.

SUGGESTED CHANGES RELATED TO PERMITTING/ENFORCEMENT FAQ

Efficiency Units
We have had countless requests over the last few years as well as two requests in the last month regarding the potential for establishing efficiency units in detached structures. The most recent requests were both related to helping residents age in place. As part of work done on the zoning rewrite, there is draft language that would:

- Change the term from efficiency unit to Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU)
- Change the review process from Special Permit to Zoning Permit
- Allow ADU’s in detached structures
- Establish specific design standards for ADU’s to compensate for the change from special permit to zoning permit review

Home Occupations
- As this is an even-numbered year, we are once again in the process of renewing home occupation permits. One of the ideas raised as part of the zoning rewrite process was changing the renewal period for home businesses from every even-numbered year to years ending in a 0 or 5.
- Staff also recommends changing the term “bed and breakfast” operations to “transient overnight accommodations” which includes both B&B as well as Airbnb operations. This change would formally codify the interpretation under which we currently operate.
• Recent review of the permit section of the regulations indicates that some renumbering may be needed; there appear to be some sections listed under revocation that are broader in nature and should not be shown as a subset of revocation.

Accessory Structures
• Article 8, Section B.1.d provides an exception to principal building setbacks for storage sheds provided they do not exceed certain size requirements and are not used as a garage or to house humans or motor vehicles. As this exception is limited to sheds, other accessory structures of similar size (pergolas, gazebos, pavilions) as well as 1-car garages are required to meet principal building setbacks. Staff would recommend that this provision be revised to be more general in nature.

• The Connecticut Building Code currently exempts swimming pools accessory to one-family dwellings that are no more than 24” deep and one-story accessory structures that are 200 square feet or smaller from obtaining a building permit. However, our regulations still require zoning permits for such structures. Staff recommends that the RRC consider exempting these structures from the need to obtain a zoning permit. The structures would still be subject to setback requirements; enforcement of setbacks would be handled through enforcement if setbacks are violated instead of through the permitting process.

Administration and Enforcement
• When the Town retained CME to provide Zoning Agent services, one of the recommendations from Mike D’Amato was that we replace the term Certificate of Compliance with Certificate of Completion to clarify that the Certification was being issued based on conformance of construction with approved plans.

• The current organization of Article 11 is confusing; additionally, the procedures for Site Plan Review and Special Permit are currently located in Article 5 and the procedures for Amendments to the Zoning Map and Regulations are located in Article 13. As part of the short-term amendments, staff would like to explore the possibility of replacing these three articles with a different breakdown/organization based on the framework for the new regulations that we will be reviewing with the consultant team. Current options include:
  o Combining all administration, enforcement and procedures into one chapter
  o Combining procedures (zoning permits, site plans, special permits, variances, reg/map amendments) in one article and general administration (ZEO, enforcement, etc. in a separate article)

Parking
Article 10, Section D.11 specifies that the required cross-hatch area be located on the right-hand side of each accessible space. This requirement is not consistent with state or federal requirements, which offer more flexibility. Staff recommends that this section be amended to require that accessible spaces conform to state statutes and regulations with regard to number, design and location.

Signs
While the entire section needs significant work, one minor fix recommended by staff is clarification regarding public/governmental signs.