



Town of Mansfield

Inland Wetlands Agency

Date: April 6, 2015
To: Mansfield Inland Wetlands Agency
From: Jennifer Kaufman, Inland Wetlands Agent
Subject: Monthly Business Report (revised)

Mansfield Auto Parts - Route 32

On January 14, 2015, I monitored the site and asked the owners to move one car so that it was at least 25 feet from the edge of wetlands. No inspections occurred during February and March due to heavy snow. I inspected the site on April 2, 2015 and the car had been moved and all debris was at least 25 feet from the wetlands.

On Monday, March 23, 2015, an inspection of the Storrs Center Phase II construction site revealed that the project was out of compliance with the erosion and sedimentation controls required as part of their Inland Wetlands License Approval (IWA File #1378). Immediately after the site visit, Matthew Maher, Project Manager, was informed that erosion and sedimentation controls needed to be brought into compliance immediately.

Storrs Center Phase II

On Monday, March 23, 2015, an inspection of the Storrs Center Phase II construction site revealed that the project was out of compliance with the erosion and sedimentation controls required as part of their Inland Wetlands License Approval (IWA File #1378). Immediately after the site visit, Matthew Maher, Project Manager, was informed that erosion and sedimentation controls needed to be brought into compliance immediately.

On Tuesday, March 24, I walked the site with Lou Marquet, of Leyland Alliance and some of the site workers and pointed out specifically where they were out of compliance. On Thursday, March 26, I walked the site and noticed many improvements. All of the E&S was brought into compliance except one for catch basin that did not have a silt sack (they assured me it would be replaced immediately) and the silt fence on the north side of surface parking area, adjacent to the stream, was still in disrepair. However, in order to repair this silt fence when the ground was frozen would cause more disturbance. They were instructed to monitor this carefully and repair the silt fence as soon as the snow and ground was thawed enough to secure the fence. With the all of the snow melt and spring rain, they were strongly encouraged to monitor and attend the erosion and sediment controls daily. I am inspecting the site again tomorrow.

At the same time that I was inspecting the E&S controls, members of the Engineering Division of the Department of Public Works and Building and Housing Inspection Departments were working with Leyland Alliance and Center Plan to address an unfamiliar odor. There was initial concern that the odor was from the sanitary sewer. After investigation, it was determined that this was not the case. A catch basin along the west side access drive was pumped out. Last week the odor remained, the Town's environmental

consultant was contacted and a sampling protocol was recommended. Tomorrow, BL, Leyland Alliance's consultant will sample and analyze the water and sediment at the storm water outflow.

McLaughlin Pond Dam, Dam #7811

In your March 2015 meeting packet, you were copied on a letter from CT DEEP addressed to the owner of the above referenced dam stating that the owner was required to have this dam inspected by a professional engineer. The owner has been granted an extension for this inspection until December 15, 2015. An IWA member asked me to investigate what would happen if the dam were not inspected and if the owners did not perform the recommended maintenance. According to Arthur Christian of CT DEEP's Dam Safety Program, CT DEEP required all dam owners requesting extensions on their inspections to sign certification statement stating a timeframe in which they would comply. However, if they do not send in a dam inspection on time, CT DEEP plans to issue a Notice of Violation that lays out the fines that DEEP can levee if the requirements are not met. The next step would be an order complete with penalties.

If CT DEEP ultimately feel that the owner has no ability or inclination to inspect the dam, and if they feel that the dam has not been well maintained, as may be the case in this situation, then they can inspect the dam and bill the dam owner. If the inspection does get completed on time, but the dam needs significant work, they will send the owner a "maintenance request," or a "Maintenance and Engineering request," or if the dam is unsafe, they will send the dam owners an order to repair or remove their dam.

Agent Approvals

- None